Resource type Example	Date created 2020	Last reviewed 2022		
Resource series Designing donor MEL systems and practices				
Project Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning Toolkit for Grantmakers and Grantees				
MANAGE an evaluation or evaluation system				

Terms of reference for the EAP and FGP evaluation management and steering committee EAP and FG

	Purpose	Roles and responsibilities
Steering e Committee p	Support the evaluation effort and process by: Providing insight on EAP systems and processes Providing advice to refine evaluation overall purpose, process or content Play a critical role in interpreting findings	Total time commitment estimated : 5–7 days from Jul 2020 to Feb 2021
		Project management: 1.0–1.5 days between Jul and Feb
		Participate in update calls
		 Participate in the final interview for the recruitment process (if interested)
		Step 2 (define it) 2-3 hours over two weeks in July/August
		 Review evaluation themes and questions for the ToR
		Step 3 (frame it) 2-4 hours over two weeks in September
		 Review evaluation inception report (design, data collection methods, suggested timeline and approaches)
		Step 4 (describe it) 1.0-1.5 days Sep/Dec
		 Potentially interviewed during data collection (as key informant)
		 Facilitate evaluation team contact with key stakeholders (make introductions by email, chase some people if needed)
		 Facilitate access to key documents, if needed
		Steps 5 and 6 (analyze & answer it) 1-2 days between Nov and Dec
		Reviews deliverables (preliminary findings)

	Purpose	Roles and responsibilities	
		Participate in the sensemaking meeting	
		Step 7 (use it) 1-2 days between Jan and Feb	
		 Reviews deliverables (final report drafts, comms pieces) Participate in the learning session Validate Management Response Supports efforts to disseminate results (elaborate evidence into use plan, support implementation) 	
Evaluation	Lead the evaluation	Project management Manage consultancy recruitment, contract & work plan	
Management Team	effort & process by:		
ream	 Coordinating the evaluation 	Compiles consolidated feedback on the different deliverables	
	 Convening evaluation stakeholders to define commissioning purposes and processes Stakeholders to agendas, and take products coordinate 	 Manages communication with consultants and main stakeholders 	
		 Convene stakeholders whenever necessary (design agendas, with steering committee input, chair session and take notes) Reviews and signs off on deliverables and learning products 	
		part of the part o	
	Providing technical assistance for the evaluation process	 Oversees technical aspects of work and quality control Provides input and signs off on evaluation design and planning Reviews data collection instruments and supports identification of key informants Stays informed about progress during data collection Reviews and provides in-depth feedback on initial findings and synthesis, help guide steering committee feedback Coordinates efforts to review and analyze findings with steering committee members (i.e., the sensemaking meeting) Coordinates efforts to disseminate results 	